

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/646,944	09/22/2000	Ralph Hopfensitz	1093-29-PCT/	1310	
CHARLES R.	7590 04/22/2008 HOFFMAN, ESQ.	EXAMINER			
HOFFMAN & BARON, LLP			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M		
6900 JERICHO SYOSSET, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3742		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/22/2008	D t DED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/646,944	HOPFENSITZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Samuel M. Heinrich	3742	

	Samuel M. Heinrich	3/42	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period red under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the pr	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a)	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying the	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amandment (DTOL 224)
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		inpliant Amendment (i	- TOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail: e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant's arguments are drawn only to the Japanese re		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Samuel M Heinrich/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3742	
		· -	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent Method Claim 1 has been amended with dependentant Claim 6. Independent Apparatus Claim 9 has newly been amended with the limitations which were earlier set forth in Method Claim 6. In the last Office action, Claim 6 was rejected under 35USC112 because it does not provide a clear description. Claim 6 described use of a laser which "has a transverse laser radiation intensity distribution with respect to the direction of advance movement". This description can be applied to any laser process. Claim 6 further described "which corresponds to a rectangular profile". This cut shape, a rectangular profile, is not different from the prior (JP362159344A and the description and references clied in AAPA, Specification Pages 1-4). Further, AAPA described well known Nd: 'ag and CO2 lasers and described DE40239974. ID. E3415015C2 (equivalent to USPN 4469931), and DE443921-I. For example, USPN 4469931 describes "the laser beam 16 focused to a power density in excess of 20,000 watts/cm2 will achieve clean vaporization". AAPA comprises well known focus of the power density to create a clean cut.